

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,812	- <u>-</u> -4	02/08/2002	Yasukazu Iwasaki	040356-0424	8928
22428	7590	04/29/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER				MERCADO, JULIAN A	
	SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW				PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				1745	
				DATE MAILED: 04/29/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Λ /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
			t
Office Action Summary	10/067,812	IWASAKI ET AL.	
Omice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julian Mercado	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	9SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and a lift no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard part of the maximum after the maximum patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this comm  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			. ,
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	8 January 2005.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims			·
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 9-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 5-8 is/are objected to.			•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	g p, aa 22 313131	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			age
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.	
A44 - In			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	50)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	O) [ Other	<del>•</del>	· .

Application/Control Number: 10/067,812

Art Unit: 1745

# **DETAILED ACTION**

### Remarks

This Office action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed January 28, 2005. Claims 1-13 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baker (U.S. Pat. 4,365,006).

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record and for the additional reasons to follow in view of applicant's amendment to the claims. The amendment to claim 1 now recites that the vaporizer is arranged in the exhaust gas circulation passage. In reply, the examiner takes an alternate reading of Baker under the doctrine of claim differentiation. The examiner interprets Baker as being readable on this limitation as follows: the vaporizer [16] is deemed equivalent to the claimed fuel injection mechanism which injects liquid fuel into the circulated exhaust gas, and the venturi nozzle [17] is deemed equivalent to the vaporizer arranged in the exhaust gas circulation passage. It appears to the examiner that the claims have been further amended in line with the configuration shown in Figure 1. While the vaporizer [4] is arguably arranged in the

path of the exhaust gas circulation passage (as shown in Figure 1), this configuration is not found distinct from the configuration shown by Baker if the venturi nozzle [17] is held readable on a vaporizer, note that the venturi nozzle is similarly in the path of the circulated gas. A venturi nozzle's tapered configuration and compression of the passing fuel solution therein results in vaporization of the fuel solution into spray droplets.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, however they are not found persuasive. Applicant's argument that the vaporizer [16] of Baker is outside the of the conduit is deemed moot in view of the new position taken in this Office action that the venturi nozzle [17] (which is indeed positioned in the conduit) is both a structural and functional equivalent of the claimed vaporizer.

This Office action also takes the new position that Baker's "vaporizer" [16] is readable on the fuel injection mechanism. As to this fuel injection mechanism vaporizing the fuel before it is injected into the exhausted gas, and therefore not injecting liquid fuel [emphasis added], the examiner is of the counter position that the vaporized fuel is indeed of liquid state. The venturi nozzle mixes this liquid fuel with the exhausted gas [emphasis added] to form the vaporized spray droplets, such droplets also being in the liquid state. (col. 3 line 8-20)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,812

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 2-4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker as applied to claims 1 and 10-12 above, in view of Okamoto (U.S. Pat. 6,045,933).

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker as applied to claims 1 and 10-12 above, in view of Hsu (U.S. Pat. 5,747,185)

The rejection(s) based on Baker in view of Okamoto and Hsu are maintained for the reasons of record.

The examiner notes that arguments against the secondary references (Okamoto, Hsu) are noted to be directed solely to these references failing to remedy alleged deficiencies in Baker.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-8 are maintained objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable for the reasons already of if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1745

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian Mercado whose telephone number is (571) 272-1289. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN
STELLIVISORY PATENT EXAMINER